

Genetic correlation: a parameter or a latent phenotype in genetic evaluations?

Beatriz CD Cuyabano¹, Pascal Croiseau¹, Fatima Shokor^{1,2}, Mariana R Motta³, Sophie Aguerre^{1,4}, and Sophie Mattalia^{1,4}

¹University Paris Saclay, INRAE, AgroparisTech, GABI, France ²Eliance, UMT eBIS, France; ³University of Campinas, SP, Brazil; ⁴IDELE, UMT eBIS, France

> Interbull & ICAR Meeting - Bled, SI May 21, 2024



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101000226





Relevant parameters in genetic evaluations,





- Relevant parameters in genetic evaluations,
- Particular relevance when aiming genetic progress for multiple traits,





- Relevant parameters in genetic evaluations,
- Particular relevance when aiming genetic progress for multiple traits,
- Typically estimated from a base population,





- Relevant parameters in genetic evaluations,
- Particular relevance when aiming genetic progress for multiple traits,
- Typically estimated from a base population,
- One among the many variance parameters defining the genetic evaluation model,



RUMIGEN - Introduction

Genetic correlations

- Relevant parameters in genetic evaluations,
- Particular relevance when aiming genetic progress for multiple traits,
- Typically estimated from a base population,
- One among the many variance parameters defining the genetic evaluation model,
- Assumed to be identical for all selection candidates.

RUMIGEN - Introduction

Genetic correlations

- Relevant parameters in genetic evaluations,
- Particular relevance when aiming genetic progress for multiple traits,
- Typically estimated from a base population,
- One among the many variance parameters defining the genetic evaluation model,
- Assumed to be identical for all selection candidates.

Are genetic correlations identical for all selection candidates?



French Montbéliarde data

- \blacktriangleright \approx 800,000 cows with complete records from first lactation on PROD/FERT:
 - PROD (MY305d)
 - FERT (CR at first insemination after the start of lactation)
- \blacktriangleright \approx 4 million animals in pedigree.

Model for variance components estimation and genetic evaluation

Fixed effects:

- overall mean, age, HYS
- PROD: lactation length
- FERT: L1-Al interval sexed semen Al operator day of the week

Model for variance components estimation and genetic evaluation

Fixed effects:

- overall mean, age, HYS
- PROD: lactation length
- FERT: L1-Al interval sexed semen Al operator day of the week

REML estimated genetic correlation: 0.051

Model for variance components estimation and genetic evaluation

Fixed effects:

- overall mean, age, HYS
- PROD: lactation length
- FERT: L1-Al interval sexed semen Al operator day of the week

- REML estimated genetic correlation: 0.051
- Subset of 207 sires with at least 500 daughters evaluated,
- Genetic correlation between PROD and FERT among their daughters.

Sires expressed different genetic correlations through their daughters.





Is it reasonable to consider genetic correlations as static parameters?



Is it reasonable to consider genetic correlations as static parameters?

Negative genetic correlations between traits (*e.g.* PROD and FERT) may be the consequence of a concealed regulatory trait (such as resource allocation),





Is it reasonable to consider genetic correlations as static parameters?

- Negative genetic correlations between traits (*e.g.* PROD and FERT) may be the consequence of a concealed regulatory trait (such as resource allocation),
- Such trait driving a genetic correlation may not be observable,



Is it reasonable to consider genetic correlations as static parameters?

- Negative genetic correlations between traits (*e.g.* PROD and FERT) may be the consequence of a concealed regulatory trait (such as resource allocation),
- Such trait driving a genetic correlation may not be observable,
- Genetic correlations could be considered a latent phenotype,

Is it reasonable to consider genetic correlations as static parameters?

- Negative genetic correlations between traits (*e.g.* PROD and FERT) may be the consequence of a concealed regulatory trait (such as resource allocation),
- Such trait driving a genetic correlation may not be observable,
- Genetic correlations could be considered a latent phenotype,
- Not clear yet how to account for such hypothesis in a genetic evaluation.



Is it reasonable to consider genetic correlations as static parameters?

- Negative genetic correlations between traits (*e.g.* PROD and FERT) may be the consequence of a concealed regulatory trait (such as resource allocation),
- Such trait driving a genetic correlation may not be observable,
- Genetic correlations could be considered a latent phenotype,
- Not clear yet how to account for such hypothesis in a genetic evaluation.

Why discuss if genetic correlations are parameters or latent phenotypes?

Is it reasonable to consider genetic correlations as static parameters?

- Negative genetic correlations between traits (*e.g.* PROD and FERT) may be the consequence of a concealed regulatory trait (such as resource allocation),
- Such trait driving a genetic correlation may not be observable,
- Genetic correlations could be considered a latent phenotype,
- ▶ Not clear yet how to account for such hypothesis in a genetic evaluation.

Why discuss if genetic correlations are parameters or latent phenotypes?

Because the consequences of these two hypothesis are very different in the medium to long-term of a breeding program.





- Base population with 2,000 individuals,
- 50k SNPs in 29 chromosomes, resmbling the cattle genome,
- Two traits: PROD ($h^2 = 0.3$) and FERT ($h^2 = 0.04$),
- Genetic correlation of -0.2,
- Created genetic correlations as either a parameter, or as a latent regulatory phenotype,
- Selection on sires only (top 20%), similar to dairy cattle system,
- Evolved the population over at least 40 generations.



Genetic correlation was purely quantitative



Genetic correlation was purely quantitative

Part of genetic correlation was due to pleiotropy:

1k QTL shared by both traits, with correlated effects,

Part of genetic correlation was do to LD:

Each trait had 1k specific QTL that were nearby so that they were in LD

Each trait had extra 1k independent QTL.





Dual selection inevitably leads to an intensification of negative genetic correlation.



(results based on 100 replicates)

- Results are mathematically and statistically sound,
- Biologically, these results raised a lot of questions.



Genetic correlation was the consequence of a concealed regulatory trait, which balances the trade-off between PROD and FERT

- Three traits: RGLT ($h^2 = 0.1$), PROD ($h^2 = 0.3$), and FERT ($h^2 = 0.04$),
- Both PROD and FERT had a concave parabolic relationship with RGLT,
 - simulation of non-linear related traits as in Shokor et al (pre-print available at https://doi.org/10.1101/ 2024.03.23.585208),
- Genetic correlation between PROD and FERT of -0.2,





▶ No trends of an intensification of the negative genetic correlation.



(results based on 1,000 replicates)

▶ No trends of an intensification of the negative genetic correlation.



(results based on 1,000 replicates)

▶ No trends of an intensification of the negative genetic correlation.



(results based on 1,000 replicates)

Trends of genetic progress:



Scenarios: %PROD - %FERT - %RGLT in breeding goal 100 - 0 - 0 80 - 20 - 0 80 - 10 - 10

Trends of genetic progress:



Scenarios: %PROD - %FERT - %RGLT in breeding goal 100 - 0 - 0 80 - 20 - 0 80 - 10 - 10

Trends of genetic progress:



Scenarios: %PROD – %FERT – %RGLT in breeding goal 100 – 0 – 0 — 80 – 20 – 0 — 80 – 10 – 10

The hypothesis that genetic correlations are a latent phenotype is biologically more sound,



- The hypothesis that genetic correlations are a latent phenotype is biologically more sound,
- It may be reasonable to believe that a regulatory trait, e.g. a trait associated to the animal's resource allocation, is responsible to balance the trade-off between negatively correlated traits,

- The hypothesis that genetic correlations are a latent phenotype is biologically more sound,
- It may be reasonable to believe that a regulatory trait, e.g. a trait associated to the animal's resource allocation, is responsible to balance the trade-off between negatively correlated traits,
- It is still unclear how we could account for genetic correlations as a latent phenotype in the current models of genetic evaluation,

- The hypothesis that genetic correlations are a latent phenotype is biologically more sound,
- It may be reasonable to believe that a regulatory trait, e.g. a trait associated to the animal's resource allocation, is responsible to balance the trade-off between negatively correlated traits,
- It is still unclear how we could account for genetic correlations as a latent phenotype in the current models of genetic evaluation,
- The consequences of such hypotheses needs to be further studied taking into account that a breeding program selects for a very large number of traits.

- The hypothesis that genetic correlations are a latent phenotype is biologically more sound,
- It may be reasonable to believe that a regulatory trait, e.g. a trait associated to the animal's resource allocation, is responsible to balance the trade-off between negatively correlated traits,
- It is still unclear how we could account for genetic correlations as a latent phenotype in the current models of genetic evaluation,
- The consequences of such hypotheses needs to be further studied taking into account that a breeding program selects for a very large number of traits.

THANK YOU!







































www.rumigen.eu



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101000226