

Animal identification, animal breeding and International trade The future EU legislation on Zootechnics

ICAR, May 2014 Berlin, 20-23 May 2014

Sergio PAVON

European Commission
DG Health and Consumer Protection
Unit G.2 Animal health

This presentation does not necessarily represent the views of the European Commission Sergio.Pavon@ec.europa.eu



<u>AGENDA</u>

- 1- Animal Identification and traceability in the EU
- 2-Animal identification, traceability and international trade
- 3- Major challenges for international trade of genetic material
- 4-The future EU legislation on zootechnics



- -In the light of the Bovine Spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) crisis Union rules on the identification and traceability of bovine animals were re-enforced in 1997
- -Regulation (EC) No 820/97 of the European Parliament and of the Council established a regime of individual traceability of cattle by means of:
 - Individual animal identification of animals with two ear tags;
 - Holding register on each holding (e.g. farm, market, slaughterhouse)
 - Individual passport for each animal containing data on all movements
 - Reporting all movements to a national database that is able to quickly trace animals and identify cohorts in the case of disease.



These principles were upheld later in Regulation (EC) No 1760/2000 of the European Parliament and the Council...

Objectives:

- to re-establish consumer confidence in beef and beef products through transparency and traceability of bovine food products
- to localise and trace animals for veterinary purposes, which is of crucial importance for the control of infectious diseases
- To assist with the management and supervision of certain Community aid schemes in the field of agriculture such as livestock premiums as part of the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) subsidy schemes.



- 1- Consumer protection information: to restore confidence in beef and other bovine-derived products in the consumer after the BSE crisis through transparency and traceability
- 2- Human health: food can be traced quickly through the food chain and can be quickly withdrawn from the market (dioxins, residues...)
- 3- Animal health: Location, tracking and culling of animals for veterinary purposes (fundamental for controlling infectious diseases)
- 5- Fraud Prevention
- 6-Ensuring the functioning of a "Single market" (28 Member States)
- 7- From "Birth to slaughter".....but also "From the Farm to the table"...



- The vision of the EU with regard to animal identification is not limited to B\$E
- In terms of animal health there is other major reason: "Regionalization"...
 - o *facilitates trade* despite the presence of highly contagious diseases in a region or country
 - o You must know the origin of the animal and the time spent in certain areas / regions
 - o Information on the export place (place of dispatch) does not seem to be sufficient ("one-step-back")
 - o In the EU and some international trade partners require further information on the "previous" movements the animal ("full traceability back")



- EU experience: was it worth it? YES ...!!!
 - At the level of animal health (not just BSE)
 - At the level of consumer protection and information
 - In terms of market access

However:

- Costs involved
- Administrative burden (will change) -Electronic Identification in cattle and others



- The final test of the effectiveness of a traceability system depends very much on the **performance of the database**
- database should ensure a real time bovine-tracking system
- -this effectiveness will depend on how often and how quick the database is "fed" with the necessary information
- -The responsibility of "feeding" the database depends heavily on farmers



- A fundamental requirement for having a labeling system which is credible is that it is based on an effective system of AI & T
- The EU legislation contains provisions for beef labelling
- Any beef which goes on sale in the EU for the consumer must include on the label information on the origin:
 - -A reference number that enables to trace-back to the holding of origin (birth)
 - Information regarding the origin of the meat:
 "Animal Born: in Spain; Raised: in France, Slaughtered: in Germany"



These principles are contained in Regulation (EC) No 1760/2000 of the European Parliament and the Council which has been reviewed recently:

- introduces EID in cattle (voluntary bases)
- electronic exchange of information (reducing paper word)
- certain derogations for old animals
- modifications on beef labelling (voluntary)
- applicable in 2019



1-Animal identification in the EU

- Different rules have been adopted EU de on the species:
 - For cattle: Regulation 1760/2000*
 - For sheep and goats: Regulation 21/2004
 - For pigs: Directive 2008/71
 - For horses: Regulation 504/2008
 - For Pets: Regulation 998/2003
 - They all share certain fundamental principles but may change in the accessory (type of identifier, type of registration, passport or for movement)



Especie	Identification	Trazability (Registration of movements)
Bovine	Individual (EID from 2019- voluntary bases)	Individual (National Database)
Ovine/caprine	Individual (IED)*IE—Compulsory for animals born from 1 January 2010	Individual (Holding) and by lot (National Database)
Porcine	Lot/Batch	Lot/Batch (National Database)
Horse	Individual (IED)	N/A
Pets	Individual	NIA



Main principles:

1-A traceability system cannot be achieved without costs

2-It is necessary that the IA & T systems are proportionate to the objective and the goals to be achieved

3-Depends on the objective:

- 1. Animal health (AUS, NZ...)
- 2. Food safety (EU, JAP, KOR...)
- 3. Market access (BRA, ARG...)

4-Depends on the animal species (sheep, goats, pig, cattle..?)



- The animal species:
 - Production systems and business trends for each animal species may be different
 - Traceability in cattle more complex than in pigs
 - Mixture of cattle from different sources
 - Mixture of sheep from many different sources
 - Age verification (e.g. BSE-cattle)
 - Less expensive a traceability based on "group of animals" (batch/lot) than on an "individual"



- Mandatory or Voluntary?
 - >Most exporting countries: mandatory Al systems (some of them voluntary Al system)
 - > Major importing countries: mandatory Al systems
 - Record movement of animals is common in countries with mandatory IA systems
 - Not to forget: importing countries are the ones establishing the minimum standards that exporting countries will need to satisfy to access their markets...



- IA & T have become essential requirements for international trade not only meat but other products of animal (and vegetable)
- Tendency to generalize: many countries have developed systems of IAI & T
- Difficult to isolate the impact of traceability in international trade
- Its absence may limit market access
- Its tenure can quickly lift trade restrictions



- during the BSE crisis, more than 80 countries imposed restrictions on EU products
- today, many of these restrictions have been lifted based on:
 - The implementation of a proper AI & T system
 - The "farm to the fork" concept
 - ABP management
 - Crucial to restore confidence on business partners



Regionalization:

- trade facilitation tool
- Allows SAFE trade of live animals and animal products within the EU and also at international trade
- EU policy is to **promote regionalization** as much a possible:
 - 1. Internally
 - 2. At international level (BRA, ARG...)
 - 3. for major animal diseases:
 - "Foot and mouth disease
 - -Avian influenza
 - -Newcastle disease
 - Classical swine federal



- EU is one of the major exporters of genetic material worldwide
- the OIE's animal health code contains recommendations for safe trade in genetic material
- OIE is recognised by the WTO (World Trade Organization) as the ISSO (International standard setting organization) for animal health
- WTO sets the main principles for international trade



Case 1: International recommendations exist but importing countries decide to go "beyond"...conventional pathogens

Case 2: lack of OIE's recommendations= lack of international standards for safe trade....emerging pathogens

Case 3: administrative, environment and biodiversity reasons



Case 1: International recommendations exist but importing countries decide to go "beyond"...

- with scientific bases (legal, but on a temporary bases...)
- without scientific bases....resulting in ...unjustified trade restrictions



- "Conventional" pathogens:
- 1.B\$E, FMD
- 2.Blue Tongue
- 3.Infectious bovine rhinotracheitis/infectious pustular vulvovaginitis
- 4. Bovine Viral Diarrhoea

BSE is a clear case of importing countries "going beyond "international standards...International trade in genetic material is safe in relation to BSE ... no justification for restrictions....



Other problem is Regionalization (e.g.: FMD):

- Refuse to recognize <u>regionalization</u>
- Resulting in unjustified restrictions to genetic material from regions/areas which are free of the virus...
- OIE contains recommendations on Zoning and compartmentalisation (Chapter 4.3)
- The WTO contains provisions regionalization (disease free areas) in Article 6 of the SPS Agreement



Case 2: the lack of OIE's recommendations= lack of international standards for safe trade

- "emerging pathogens"
- Leptospirosis (L. interrogans and L.borgpettersenii)
- some importing countries request unjustified treatments with antibiotics for bovine semen (excessive and prolonged in time)
- lack of international recommendations: the pathogen does not met the criteria as to be considered by the OIE
- result ? Incertitude for international trade in genetic material....



Case 2: the lack of OIE's recommendations= lack of international standards for safe trade

- Schmallenberg virus (SBV)
- does not represent a source of concern for international trade
- does not met criteria for being listed as a disease in the OIE
- result ? Unjustified restrictions for live animals and their genetic material



Case 3: administrative, environment and biodiversity reasons

- Import restrictions due to **administrative problems** are raising as a major problem for international trade:
 - Long delays at BIPs
 - Excessive administrative burden and requests
 - Excessive documentation request without previous notification
- Environmental reasons and protection of the domestic Biodiversity are provoking trade disruptions for genetic material



Case 3: administrative, environment and biodiversity reasons

- Undue delays in the application process for getting market access (this can take years, without any justification provided by the importing country)
- Discriminatory treatment between trading partners different import conditions (animal health) for different exporting countries without justification might be required by the importing country;
- Discriminatory treatment in comparison to domestic market e.g. importing country does not put measures in place for diseases for which it requires strict import conditions (e.g. diseases belonging to the same group as SBV).



- Genomic Selection may be on disadvantage in relation to countries holding domestic policy subsidies, resulting on more competitive prices once on the market...
- market forces driven (farmers may choose the product in the market with the best price...)
- risk for biodiversity?
- fair competition ?



To reflect:

- 1. Excessively protective policy on animal health?
- 2. Excessively protective policy on food safety?
- 3. imports of genetic material do pose a threat for Biodiversity?
- 4. Protectionism approach towards domestic production?



Final reflections...

Trade barriers imposed by third countries:

- Animal health reasons
- Recently, barriers of an administrative nature
- Recently, environmental and biodiversity reasons

Protection of national/domestic production?

WTO legal gap?

SPS, TBT Agreement?

How to challenge these types of trade barriers?

Incertitude & unpredictability in terms of international trade must be avoid



REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on

the zootechnical and genealogical conditions for trade in and imports into the Union

of

breeding animals and their germinal products



- the European Commission recently adopted a legislative proposal with the objective to set up the EU level of zootechnia and genealogical conditions for in and imports into the EU of breeding animals and their germinal products
- this proposal has been presented for discussions to the colegislators (European Council and the European Parliament)
- some time before a final agreement is reached and before is applicable



- current Union legislation is organized vertically according to species
- the new proposal aims to:
- To present in a SINGLE FORMAT of a Regulation for bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species
- streamlining existing provisions
- Drafting on a more precise and consistent language (different national transposition of Directives or different language for similar or identical content)
- Avoid obstacles to trade resulting from national transpositions (the concept of single market and free circulation of goods and services must be respected)
- Adaptation to the Lisbon Treaty



The new proposal provides principles for:

- -recognition and listing of breeding organisations
- -breeders associations and private undertakings
- approval of their breeding programmes
- entering of animals in herd books, flock-books, stud-books and their classification according to merits
- registration of hybrid breeding pigs in registers
- performance testing and genetic evaluation
- the content of zootechnical certificates for breeding animals and their semen, ova and embryos



In addition, the new proposal provides principles for:

- imports from third countries of breeding animals, their semen, ova and embryos
- the designation of reference centres for breeding of animals
- provisions to carry out official controls
- provisions to resolve disputes arising where zootechnical checks disclose non-compliance with zootechnical requirements



Reasons for this new proposal:

- cross border activities of approved breed societies
- problems raised by:
 - Breeders
 - Breed societies
- and Competent Authorities
- Different interpretation of the existing legislation



- obstructing EU cross-border activities of "foreigners" breeders' organizations.
- In some cases foreign breeder organizations are not authorised or not registered and in some other occasions, restrictions are put in order to "expand" or to "operate freely".
- Council directive 2009/157/EC (on pure-bred animals of bovine species) is very clear on its wording: "EU MS shall ensure that activities like intra-EU trade on pure-breed animals, on semen, ova, embryos, the establishment of herd-books and the recognition of organisations and associations maintaining herd-books ARE NOT PROHIBITED, RESTRICTED or IMPEDED on zootechnical grounds"
- COM Decision 84/247 provides the legal basis to refuse to recognise a new breeder's organisation (or association) but this is only in case it endangers the preservation of the breed or jeopardise the zootechnical programme of the existing organisation.



- imposing unjustified restrictions on intra-EU trade of bovine genetic material (e.g. bovine semen included those which are GS tested by ICAR/Interbull validated systems)
- This is resulting on questioning the role of international standard setting organisations like ICAR.
- COM clarified during 2010 that the breeding values which are established in accordance with the approved systems (e.g.: ICAR/Interbull validation methods) are on line with EU legislation and qualify bulls so tested for artificial insemination in accordance to Directive 87/328/EEC.
- "own interpretation" for some genomic performance parameters in order to calculate the breeding value of an animal (e.g. -beef conformation-COM Decision 2006/427/EC)



The end

Time for questions

Sergio PAVON